

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,990 01/30/2001		Anna Pia Slothower	PALM-3559.US.P	4362	
7590 11/06/2003			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
Third Floor Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•	•	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	. !)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$\mathcal{A}$
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/774,990		SLOTHOWER ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jennifer T Nguye	en	2674	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence ad	Idress
Period fo	• •				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire , cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	ely filed  will be considered time the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2001 .			
2a)□		is action is non-f	inal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fo	ormal matters, pr		ne merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
<b>4</b> )⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	1.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consider	ation.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			<u> </u>	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election require	ement.		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) object	ted to by the Exar	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approv	ed b)□ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office ac	ction.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived in Application	on No	
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		·		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro				i application).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachmen —					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 08/25/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art Fig. 1 (cited by Applicant) in view of Robsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,309).

Regarding claims 1, 9, and 16, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches a integrated enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and a resistive digitizing element (130); a supporting structure (105) for supporting said display mechanism (140); and a cover (110) for the touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the cover (110) and the digitizer mechanism, wherein the resistive digitizing element can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of the cover (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

The prior art Fig. 1 differs from claims 1, 9, and 16 in that it does not specifically teach the cover is a single piece cover enclosure. However, referring to Figs. 1-10, Robsky teaches single piece cover enclosure (24) for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism (28) to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover (24) and the digitizer mechanism (28) (col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover enclosure for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover and the digitizer mechanism as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 17, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration (col. 3, of Robsky, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches finger pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure can be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein stylus pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure may be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-63 of Robsky).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein said single piece cover comprises a mylar polycarbonate material (col. 3, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the soft thermoplastic film has sufficient deflection under external pressure to active said digitizer mechanism.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches the single piece cover enclosure (24) for the display mechanism and said digitizer mechanism is constructed with a flat outer top surface free of any indentation (Figs. 1-10 of Robsky, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches said single piece cover enclosure is a soft thermoplastic outer film that is coupled to said top film of said digitizer mechanism that is coupled to the supporting structure (col. 3, lines 17-40 of Robsky).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the digitizing element of said digitizer mechanism is a resistive type digitizing element.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number

Art Unit: 2674

is **703-305-3225.** The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121

Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 10/27/2003

SUPERVICENT PARTIES